



## Consultation 2 Question V36 comments

**Are the housing sites assessment criteria listed on Page 25 correct? - we asked for your comments and your exact responses are shown as received**

Add -10 "would the site adversely affect waste water and sewage disposal". 11. Does the site require upgrades for sewage, telephone, electricity?

Add impact on traffic flow and ability to integrate with rest of village

Add impact views to and from Watlington Hill (AONB) P 25

Add whether development of the site significantly impact the character of the area

Affordable housing for families

As stated before we believe any large site is unsuitable

Assessment criteria seems reasonable but size of areas seem too big when we need only 90 houses

Avoid houses in Watlington centre in favour of small numbers houses in outlying settlements

Broadly right

Built up area must out of necessity get bigger so no value in assessing sites within the current envelope!

Character of area must be maintained

Delete 9 - not important if site is prominent from Watlington hill Add site should not increase traffic on existing roads by creating rat runs

Delete point 8

Delete point 8

Delete point 8.

Depends on whether assessment criteria are viewed simply as good or bad or whether it is more subjective ie large site not necessarily viewed as negative compared with small site

Don't know

Ecological value should include streams, grassland and hedgerows. Site P (Alan Keen) has eco value with the remains of the watercress beds

Expansion to east very detrimental to AONB

H & J - housing density seems too high - it would create a "ghetto" estate - other than that criteria OK. Where is addressed that housing should be of good quality and design and not mindless estates seen elsewhere?

Has site flooded?

However not enough emphasis is being placed on keeping development away from the Ridgeway / AONB / Hill etc

I put "no" because there 9 questions that have bamboozled me

## Are the housing sites assessment criteria listed on Page 25 correct? - we asked for your comments and your exact responses are shown as received

---

If you expect to get anywhere include the correct information. No information and no feedback. This form was incorrect

Important for Watlington is design heritage and maintaining quality of life plus recognising value of business visitors. Also attractive AONB views and avoiding over development

Might it impact on town Traffic? Are there suitable footpaths & cycle routes to town and schools ?

Mixed housing - affordable family

More specific as to difference between a flood plain and a flood zone

No 9 should not be on list View nice but not as important as other criteria. Just a view - how many people go up there on a regular basis

Not greater than 10 properties not build on flood plain must not affect ecological sites impact view of Watlington

Objective 5 should consider whether it would adversely effect an AONB or conservation area. Delete Objective 8. New objective : 2 Will the sites spoil or degrade the environment around the town

Outreach settlements should be included if they have suitable plots

Pedestrianise town centre Build new roads around town for all traffic and to supply minibus to industrial sites

Point 8 should be disregarded

Point 8 should be removed - these should not be connected

Purely admin constraint - site should not be excluded because it is small - AONB not same as conservation area and location all important

Safe footpaths to and from town and schools. Has each site enough space for a green area

see Q28

Simplify -as in 34

The criteria are only meaningful if weighted in some way, i.e what is more or less desirable , otherwise how can the sites be assessed other than factually?

The EA flood model is computer generated. The actual flooding history is what matters

The Plan must not ignore the value of small sites located throughout the Parish and not just around the town

View from Watlington Hill not overriding criterion as long as development is in keeping with other criteria. The view to whole of East Oxfordshire from Watlington Hill will remain regardless

View from Watlington Hill should not be a consideration

We should seek not to encourage HGV's to avoid the town centre but "ban" or "prevent"

What criteria we used to assess ecological value- flood zone bears no relation to real situation.

Why would you build new housing next to a new road? Why move traffic out of the town centre to be near other housing and schools

Windfall sites are an unknown quantity and therefore cannot be included.

---

Would the site add significantly to traffic in the town? Would it add to parking difficulties? Would the site be reducing or removing a 'green corridor' for wildlife.

Would the site significantly minimise the increase in traffic through the town. 11. Is the site amenable to the creation of green routes and green spaces.

You have no criteria for assessing the impact of traffic and pollution on the existing residential areas around Watlington.e.g there are 100 children and 170 adults on the Marlebrook estate.

You have no criteria for assessing the impact of traffic and pollution on the existing residential areas around Watlington.e.g there are 100 children and 170 adults on the Marlebrook estate.